

REMARKS

The Amendment filed in response to the Office Action mailed February 10, 2009 is believed to fully address all issues raised in the Action and favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Claims Disposition

Claims 1-12 are all the claims pending in the application. Claim 13 was previously canceled.

Upon entry of the amendment, which is respectfully requested, Claims 2, 6, and 8 will be amended in order to clarify the claim language. The amendments are of rather formality nature and it is believed that no further search or consideration of art is required. Entry of the amendment is respectfully requested.

Drawings (Petition for Acceptance of Color Drawings)

The instant application contains color photographs and a Petition to accept the color photographs was filed electronically through EFS, followed by hand-delivery of three paper copies of the color photographs. In the Action, it is stated that a mere statement of filing paper copies was not sufficient, appearing to suggest the Office fails to note the actual filing paper photographs. Applicant hereby submits a copy of stamped filed receipt of March 10, 2008, in order to prove that the required paper copies of the color drawings were in fact filed. In this regards, Applicant thanks the Examiner for confirming that if three paper copies of the drawings were in the file of the USPTO, the objection to the drawings will be overcome.

Response to Claim Objections

In the Action, claims 2 and 8-12 are objected to because of informalities as stated in the Action. In this regard, Applicant thanks the Examiner confirming that the objection will be overcome as long as the letter “p” in claim 1 and its dependent claims are brought to be consistent (i.e., either all capitalized or all in lower letters).

In response, claims 2 and 8 are amended to address all issues, rendering the objection moot. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Response to Claim Rejection

In the Action, the Office rejects claim 7 on the ground that the limitations “corresponding to R¹ group” and the limitation “the amino acid R¹ N-terminus” lack antecedent basis and proposes to amend them to read “corresponding to R¹ groups” “the amino acid R¹ N-termini,” respectfully.

In response, claim 6 is amended in accordance with the Examiner’s suggestion, rendering the rejection moot. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number **202-775-7588**.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
Application No.: 10/565,164

Attorney Docket No.: Q92717

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sunhee Lee/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Sunhee Lee
Registration No. 53,892

Date: April 9, 2009

Attachment: A stamped copy of filing receipt of paper copy of Color Photograph Petition with three paper copies of Color photographs (1 sheet)